

# Propagating torsion in 3D-gravity and dynamical mass generation

J.L. Boldo<sup>a,1</sup>, J.A. Helayël-Neto<sup>b,c,2</sup> and N. Panza<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo (UFES)  
Departamento de Física (CCE)  
Campus Universitário de Goiabeiras - 29060-900 - Vitória - ES - Brazil.*

<sup>b</sup>*Universidade Católica de Petrópolis (UCP)  
Grupo de Física Teórica  
Rua Barão do Amazonas, 124 - 25685-070 - Petrópolis - RJ - Brazil.*

<sup>c</sup>*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF)  
Departamento de Teoria de Campos e Partículas (CCP)  
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150 - 22290-180 - Rio de Janeiro - RJ - Brazil.*

## Abstract

In this paper, fermions are minimally coupled to 3D-gravity with dynamical torsion. A Kalb-Ramond field is also introduced and non-minimally coupled to the fermions in a gauge-invariant way. We show that a 1-loop mass generation mechanism takes place for both the 2-form gauge field and the torsion. As for the fermions, no mass is dynamically generated: at 1-loop, there is only a fermion mass shift proportional to the Yukawa coupling whenever these fermions already have a non-vanishing tree-level mass.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh, 11.10.Kk, 11.15.-q

## 1 Introduction

The mechanism of dynamical (gauge-invariant) mass generation in 2D and 3D gauge theories has received a great deal of attention over the past two decades [1, 2, 3]. Planar gauge theories, massless or massive, reveal many peculiarities that justify more detailed investigations [4].

Having in mind that topologically massive 3D gravity with dynamical torsion exhibits a number of peculiar results [5, 6], we propose here to pursue the investigation of dynamical mass generation for fermionic matter and a 2-form gauge potential that interact with gravitational field whose torsion fluctuations dominate over the metric excitations. In practice, this means that we adopt 3D space-time as a flat background on which the torsion degrees of freedom propagate and interact with matter and gauge fields - it might corresponds to a physical situation where the torsion field is produced by a cosmological neutrino sea [7]. In so doing, we actually isolate the genuine contributions of torsion to the problem of dynamical mass generation.

We initially tackle the issue of mass generation for both the fermion and the torsion itself. The Yukawa-like interaction between the scalar component of the torsion - this is a feature of 3D space-time - and the fermion yields a 1-loop *mass correction* for the latter. On the other hand, the fluctuation of the torsion scalar may acquire a 1-loop mass proportional to the fermion mass parameter. These results are treated in Section 2.

Proceeding further, in Section 3, we discuss the interaction between a 3D Kalb-Ramond-type (K-R) field and fermions with non-minimal coupling. As a free field, the former has no on-shell degrees of freedom, as a peculiarity of three space-time dimensions. However, the fermions, that couple to both the torsion and the 2-form gauge potential, induce a 1-loop self-energy mixing among these fields in the effective action, so that the K-R field becomes dynamical and acquires a non-trivial mass. We check that unitarity is ensured at 1-loop and show that the longitudinal degree of freedom excited at the K-R field does not correspond to a ghost.

Our Concluding Remarks are presented in Section 4.

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail address: jboldo@cce.ufes.br, jboldo@cbpf.br

<sup>2</sup>e-mail address: helayel@gft.ucp.br

## 2 Matter Coupling

We start by setting up a few relations and properties of Riemann-Cartan space-time that we shall make use of throughout this work. The affine connection is non-symmetric in the first two indices. Its non-symmetric part,  $2\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda = T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ , is the torsion tensor [8]. In this space-time,  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  can be split as below:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \{\overset{\lambda}{\underset{\mu\nu}}{\}} + K_{\mu\nu}^\lambda, \quad (1)$$

where  $\{\overset{\lambda}{\underset{\mu\nu}}{\}}$  is the Christoffel symbol, completely determined by the metric and its derivatives, and  $K_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - T_\nu^\lambda{}_\mu)$  is the contortion tensor, which is antisymmetric in the last two indices.

This implies that, in such a framework, n-dimensional gravity is described by two independent objects, namely, the metric and the torsion tensors.

In 3D, the 9 degrees of freedom of the torsion can be covariantly decomposed into its SO(1,2)-irreducible components: a trace part,  $t_\mu \equiv T_{\mu\nu}^\nu$ , a totally antisymmetric part,  $\varphi \equiv \frac{1}{3!}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}T_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ , and a traceless rank-2 symmetric tensor,  $X_{\mu\nu}$ . The splitting in the above components is accomplished by the following relation:

$$T_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \varphi\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\nu\lambda}t_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda}t_\nu) + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma}X^\sigma{}_\lambda. \quad (2)$$

The peculiarity of 3D, as compared to 4D-gravity, is the appearance of a scalar component for the torsion.

In this work, we shall be concerned with the minimal coupling between fermions and torsion. As we shall soon understand, only the  $\varphi$ -component indeed minimally couples to fermions. On the other hand, it is worthwhile to mention that the spin-2 component,  $X_{\mu\nu}$ , plays a peculiar role when Chern-Simons gravity is considered: it influences the spin-2 sector of the graviton propagator and it is responsible for the appearance of a massive ghost-like mode in the spectrum [5].

At first sight, the easier way to obtain interactions between torsion and relativistic fields is through a covariant derivative under the minimal coupling prescription. As we have seen, the affine connection contains a torsion-dependent piece, and therefore in each covariant derivative of the field under consideration there is an interaction with torsion. However, we must be careful since this procedure, in the case of some fields, may spoil the gauge invariance of the theory. In fact, an Abelian gauge field,  $A_\mu$ , cannot interact minimally with torsion while keeping the gauge invariance requirement (the same occurs with the K-R gauge field,  $B_{\mu\nu}$ , which will be object of study in Section 3). For a scalar theory, the covariant derivative is the usual one, and hence it does not minimally couple to torsion either. On the other hand, the requirement that the Dirac equation in a gravitational field preserve local Lorentz invariance yields a direct interaction between torsion and fermions. In Riemann-Cartan space-time, the Dirac action for a massive fermion has the form

$$S_D = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left[ \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - D_\mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \psi) - m\bar{\psi}\psi \right], \quad (3)$$

where the covariant derivatives of the spinor fields are given by

$$D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi + \frac{1}{8} B_\mu^{ab} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b] \psi, \quad (4)$$

$$D_\mu \bar{\psi} = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} - \frac{1}{8} B_\mu^{ab} \bar{\psi} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]; \quad (5)$$

here, Latin indices refer to frame components.  $B_\mu^{ab}$  are the components of the spin-connection,

$$B_\mu^{ab} = \omega_\mu^{ab} + K_\mu^{ab}, \quad (6)$$

which is the gauge field of the local Lorentz group.  $\omega_\mu^{ab}$  is the Riemannian part of the spin-connection:

$$\omega_\mu^{ab} = e_{\mu c} \omega^{cab} = \frac{1}{2} e_{\mu c} (\Omega^{cab} + \Omega^{acb} - \Omega^{bac}), \quad (7)$$

where  $\Omega_{cba} = e_c^\mu e_b^\nu (\partial_\mu e_{\nu a} - \partial_\nu e_{\mu a})$  stands for the rotation coefficients (see Ref. [8] for details);  $e_\mu^a$  stands for the dreibeins<sup>3</sup>. Since we are here mainly interested in the interaction between torsion and fermions,

---

<sup>3</sup>We are using the following representation for the Dirac matrices in locally flat 3D space-time:  $\gamma^a = (\sigma^3, i\sigma^1, i\sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^i$  are the Pauli matrices.

we set  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ . This amounts to saying that we wish to study torsion effects on a flat background or, rephrasing, the metric fluctuations are taken to be very weak as compared to the torsion excitations.

After some algebra, the Dirac Lagrangian in the presence of torsion is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m) \psi + \frac{i}{4} K_{\mu\nu\lambda} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^{\lambda]} \psi, \quad (8)$$

where  $\gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^{\lambda]}$  means the totally antisymmetric product of three Dirac matrices. Using the identity,  $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \gamma^{[\mu} \gamma^\nu \gamma^{\lambda]} = -i3!\mathbf{1}$ , the interaction term can be shown to simplify to:

$$\frac{3}{4} \varphi \bar{\psi} \psi. \quad (9)$$

Thus, the interaction of torsion and fermions is seen to be a Yukawa coupling. We would like to point out that a detailed discussion on the coupling of Dirac fermions to gravity is presented in the works of Ref. [9]. In our case, since we are confined to 3D, chiral fermions cannot appear and our discussion on the dynamical mass generation does not involve the consideration of the anomaly problem.

Propagating and self-interactions terms for torsion, if not introduced by hand, according to the arguments of Refs. [11, 12], may be justified on more geometrical grounds, as coming from powers of the Ricci tensor and curvature scalar, as it can be readily seen with the help of:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \partial_\lambda \varphi - \eta_{\mu\nu} \varphi^2), \quad (10)$$

$$R = -\frac{3}{2} \varphi^2, \quad (11)$$

then

$$R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \frac{3}{4} \varphi^4. \quad (12)$$

where we set  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  (flat metric background); the metric fluctuations are neglected as compared to scalar torsion excitations, since we are mainly concerned with the interaction between torsion and fermions. Therefore, we first consider the following action

$$S = S_D + S_R, \quad (13)$$

where  $S_D$  is given by (3) and  $S_R$  is

$$S_R = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left[ aR + b \left( R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \right) \right]. \quad (14)$$

Finally, we can write, in a flat background, the Lagrangian for fermions and torsion as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \mu^2 \phi^2) + \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m) \psi + \alpha \phi \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (15)$$

where we have redefined the field  $\varphi \rightarrow \phi = \sqrt{b} \varphi$ , so that  $\mu^2 = \frac{a}{b}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{b}}$ . It is worthy to point out that the free action for the scalar is nothing but the dimensionally reduced form of the torsion action proposed in the Ref. [12]. Notice that we must require that  $b > 0$  and  $a > 0$  to ensure that  $\mu^2$  be positive and that  $\alpha$  be real. Moreover, the canonical dimensions of the fields and parameters are given below:

$$[\phi] = \frac{1}{2}; \quad [\psi] = 1; \quad [b] = -1; \quad [a] = 1. \quad (16)$$

In the work by Baekler and Mielke [10], one discusses the most general gauge-invariant action for gravity with torsion. In our case, since we have in mind to keep only torsion excitations (we neglect the vielbein fluctuations), we do not need to add up the translational Chern-Simons term discussed in such work, since the one would amount to a linear term in the torsion.

The particular combination of the curvature square terms in the action (14) suppresses the quartic scalar self-interaction term. Also, we notice that, for massless fermions ( $m = 0$ ), the Lagrangian (15) has parity ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) symmetry, since  $\phi$  (pseudo-scalar) and  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  are not separately invariant under this symmetry operation in 3D, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} -\phi, \\ \bar{\psi}\psi &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} -\bar{\psi}\psi, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

so that  $\phi\bar{\psi}\psi \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \phi\bar{\psi}\psi$ . We shall come back to this point later on, in connection with the question of fermion mass generation.

Now, we can extract the Feynman rules for the theory. The propagators for the scalar and spinor fields that stem from the free action are as follows:

$$i\Delta_0(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2} \quad \text{and} \quad iS_0(p) = \frac{i}{\not{p} - m}. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, the fermion-torsion vertex is given by

$$V(\psi, \phi) = i\alpha. \quad (19)$$

Since  $\alpha$  carries dimension  $\frac{1}{2}$ , one can see that this vertex is super-renormalisable. In fact, a power-counting analysis shows that the superficial degree of divergence of primitively divergent graphs,  $\delta$ , decreases as the number of vertices increases:

$$\delta = 3 - \frac{1}{2}V_n - \frac{1}{2}E_\phi - E_\psi, \quad (20)$$

where  $V_n$  is the number of vertices, while  $E_\phi$  and  $E_\psi$  are the external lines associated to  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively.

From these results, we turn into the calculation of the self-energy corrections for the bare propagators and discuss the mechanism of mass generation for massless fields, by looking at the poles of the 1-loop corrected propagators. For the fermion self-energy graph, we find:

$$-i\Sigma(p) = (i\alpha)^2 (i)^2 \int \frac{d^3l}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(\not{p} - \not{l} + m)}{[(p-l)^2 - m^2](l^2 - \mu^2)}. \quad (21)$$

As we are interested in reading off a mass generation, we are allowed to set the external momentum to zero. In so doing, we find

$$-i\Sigma(0) = i \frac{\alpha^2 m}{4\pi(|m| + |\mu|)}. \quad (22)$$

The insertion of this 1-loop graph leads to the following 1-loop corrected propagator:

$$\begin{aligned} iS &= iS_0 + iS_0(-i\Sigma)iS_0 + \dots \\ &= \frac{i}{\not{p} - m - \Sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The above result shows that, if we had started with a massless torsion<sup>4</sup>, the fermion self-energy correction (22) would still be different from zero and given exclusively in terms of the Yukawa coupling,  $\alpha$ . However, we warn that this result should not be interpreted as a dynamical mass generation for the fermion, since taking the limit  $m \rightarrow 0$  after perturbative calculations have been done is not a consistent procedure [13]; had we started off with massless fermions and torsion ( $m = \mu = 0$ ), we would have found

$$-i\Sigma(p) = \frac{\alpha^2}{16} \frac{\not{p}}{\sqrt{p^2}}, \quad (24)$$

and so no mass would be dynamically generated for the fermion, what would be consistent with the parity invariance of models with massless fermions in 3D: no parity-anomaly should be induced perturbatively in a model with massless fermions. Therefore, from (22) and  $\mu = 0$ , one obtains a modified propagator (23) which has a massive pole at  $p^2 = \left(m - \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi}\right)^2$ .

Also, the torsion self-energy is calculated, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} i\Pi_\phi(p) &= -(i\alpha)^2 (i)^2 \int \frac{d^3l}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\text{tr}[(\not{l} + m)(\not{l} - \not{p} + m)]}{(l^2 - m^2)[(l-p)^2 - m^2]}, \\ i\Pi_\phi(0) &= -i \frac{m\alpha^2}{\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Torsion with vanishing mass yields the same infra-red problem as found in QED<sub>3</sub>.

Similarly, the modified torsion propagator is obtained by summing up the series

$$\begin{aligned} i\Delta &= i\Delta_0 + i\Delta_0 (i\Pi_\phi) i\Delta_0 + \dots \\ &= \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2 + \Pi_\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here, we have another mass correction, at this time for the pole of the torsion propagator. From this result, we conclude that, whenever the torsion field mediates the interaction between massive fermions, it exhibits a short range behavior.. In other words, if we consider, from the beginning, a massless torsion theory by setting  $a = 0$ , its 1-loop corrected propagator exhibits a pole at  $p^2 = \frac{m\alpha_s^2}{\pi}$ . We should stress that dynamical mass generation for torsion requires its coupling to *massive fermions*.

To conclude this section, we would like to make a short comment on the possibility of getting mass for the fermion by means of parity spontaneous breaking in our model. With massless fermions, having in mind that  $\phi^4$ - and  $\phi^6$ - terms could have been introduced via  $R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $R^2$  and  $R^3$  the most general Higgs-like potential in 3D comes out (6-th order in  $\phi$ ). Setting suitably the parameters such that the  $\phi$ -field acquires a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value (v.e.v), fermions would become massive upon the spontaneous breaking of  $\mathcal{P}$  by means of such a v.e.v. [14]. This shows that the fermions may acquire mass already at tree-level and, according to our previous discussion, 1-loop effects will simply provide a shift in the pole of the fermion propagator.

So far, we have been dealing with a theory involving torsion and a spinor field. Next, we wish to include the K-R field and to study a possible way to endow it with a mass and a dynamical character by means of the fermion-mediated interaction that couples it to torsion.

### 3 Mass Generation for the K-R Field

Following the same procedure as in the previous section, we shall now examine the behavior of the antisymmetric K-R field,  $B_{\mu\nu}$ , in 3D and the mechanism of mass generation for such a field whenever fermions are considered. The motivation to study 2-form gauge fields in 3D may be justified by the interest of studying supersymmetric self-dual vortices with anomalous magnetic moment coupling [15] and supersymmetric cosmic string configurations, as recently discussed in the work of Ref. [16].

We start from the well-known free action for this field:

$$S_G = \frac{1}{6} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} G_{\mu\nu\lambda} G^{\mu\nu\lambda}, \quad (27)$$

where  $G_{\mu\nu\lambda}$  is the field-strength, written in terms of  $B_{\mu\nu}$  as<sup>5</sup>

$$G_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu B_{\lambda\mu}. \quad (28)$$

In order to obtain the free propagator of the theory, one must to fix this gauge invariance; we choose the following gauge-fixing term

$$S_{gf} = \beta \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left( \eta^{\mu\nu\lambda} \tilde{\nabla}_\nu B_\lambda \right)^2, \quad (29)$$

where  $\eta^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}}{\sqrt{g}}$  and  $B_\mu = \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} B^{\nu\lambda}$  is the dual of  $B_{\mu\nu}$ . The tilde on  $\nabla_\mu$  means that we are considering only the Riemannian part of the connection in the covariant derivative. This gauge-fixing dictates a ghost term in the action. However, since we have  $\tilde{\nabla}_\mu$ , rather than  $\nabla_\mu$ , the ghosts do not couple to torsion, but exclusively to the metric degrees of freedom of the gravitational sector. Nevertheless, since we are assuming that the latter are not excited, the ghost-gravity coupling introduced by eq. (29) need not be taken into account.

It is worthy to remind that, in 4D,  $B_{\mu\nu}$  has one on-shell degree of freedom and its main application is in connection with the gauge invariant mechanism of mass generation for the electromagnetic field  $A_\mu$  through the topological coupling term  $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} F_{\mu\nu} B_{\kappa\lambda}$ . However, in 3D, the free  $B_{\mu\nu}$ -field does not have on-shell degrees of freedom; therefore, it appears only in the internal lines of Feynman diagrams describing physical amplitudes.

---

<sup>5</sup>The action is invariant under the local gauge transformation  $\delta B_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu \zeta_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu \zeta_\mu(x)$ , where  $\zeta_\mu$  is an arbitrary vector.

Then, following our study of dynamical mass generation in 3D theories, we propose (based on the work of Re. [15]) the following interaction term between fermions and  $B_{\mu\nu}$ , without spoiling gauge invariance:

$$S_I = \xi \int d^3x \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (30)$$

in an attempt to obtain a (dynamical) on-shell degree of freedom for  $B_{\mu\nu}$ . Actually, the interacting term in (30) naturally shows up in an N=2 supersymmetric model where a non-minimal magnetic moment coupling favors the formation of topological vortices [15].

Perhaps, it would be advisable, for the sake of clarity, to emphasize that  $B_{\mu\nu}$  can couple only non-minimally to fermions, since the latter do not transform under the  $U(1)$ -symmetry associated to  $B_{\mu\nu}$ . So, the only way to have a gauge-invariant coupling is through its field strength,  $G_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ . On the other hand, as long as torsion is concerned, its non-minimal coupling to fermions yield dynamical mass generation for the latter [14]; however, they do not contribute 1-loop corrections to the mass of the K-R field - this is why we do not take such a non-minimal coupling into account here.

Here  $\xi$  carries dimension  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , and hence the renormalizability of the theory is certainly lost. So, one can consider this only as a low-energy effective theory. In this sense, we begin with a Lagrangian in the flat space-time background, which becomes from the sum of (13), (27), (29) and (30), namely

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \mu^2 \phi^2) + (\partial_\mu B^\mu)^2 + \beta (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\nu B_\lambda)^2 + \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi + \alpha \phi \bar{\psi} \psi + \xi \partial_\mu B^\mu \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (31)$$

where the bilinear sector of the Lagrangian leads, besides the propagators listed in (18) for torsion and fermion fields, to the following  $B_\mu$ -propagator

$$iG_0^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{i}{2p^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \theta^{\mu\nu} + \omega^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (32)$$

$\theta_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\omega_{\mu\nu}$  being the transverse and longitudinal projectors in the space of vectors, respectively. Notice that the K-R field appears in (31) through its dual ( $B_\mu$ ).

Now, we can verify the absence of on-shell degrees of freedom for the massless vector field (the K-R field), by saturating its propagator with external currents  $j^\mu$ , which satisfies the following conservation law:

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} p_\nu j_\lambda = 0. \quad (33)$$

In 3D, a general current may be expanded with respect to a particular basis

$$j^\mu = a p^\mu + b \tilde{p}^\mu + c \varepsilon^\mu, \quad (34)$$

where  $p^\mu = (p^0, \vec{p})$ ,  $\tilde{p}^\mu = (p^0, -\vec{p})$ , and  $\varepsilon^\mu = (0, \vec{\varepsilon})$ . However, (33) implies that  $b = c = 0$ . Therefore, taking the imaginary part of the residue (at the pole  $p^2 = 0$ ) of the transition amplitude,  $\mathcal{A} = j_\mu^*(p) G_0^{\mu\nu}(p) j_\nu(p)$ , we obtain

$$Im \mathcal{R}es(\mathcal{A}) = \lim_{p^2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|a|^2}{2} p^2 = 0. \quad (35)$$

From this result, we find that the massless pole does not propagate.

Returning to the Feynman rules, the torsion-fermion vertex is given by (19), whereas the  $B_\mu - \psi$  vertex is as follows:

$$V_\mu(B, \psi) = -\xi p_\mu. \quad (36)$$

Once the Feynman rules for the theory have been derived, we turn out to calculate the self-energy correction for the complete propagator.

Besides the usual self-energy corrections to the torsion and  $B_\mu$ -propagators, there is an additional mixed self-energy graph which contains one  $\phi$ -field and one  $B_\mu$ -field as external lines. This 1-loop graph induces an indirect  $B_\mu - \phi$  coupling and, by virtue of this mixing, we shall achieve the situation of dynamical mass generation.

Then, using the above Feynman rules, one arrives at the following results for self-energy graphs of the fields:

$$i\Pi_{\mu\nu} = i \frac{m\xi^2}{\pi} p^2 \omega_{\mu\nu}, \quad (37)$$

$$i\Pi_\mu = \frac{m\xi\alpha}{\pi} p_\mu, \quad (38)$$

where  $i\Pi_{\mu\nu}$  and  $i\Pi_\mu$  are the  $B_\mu - B_\nu$  and the mixed  $B_\mu - \phi$  1-loop self-energy diagrams, respectively (the self-energy for the torsion field is the same as in (22)).

The contribution of eq. (38) amounts to the radiative generation of a finite mixing term of the form  $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu B_{\nu\lambda}\phi$  in the 1-loop effective action. As we shall check below, such a term does not spoil the spectrum, as no ghost state is shown to be excited. This result suggests us that a term like the one above could have already been introduced at tree-level, and its overall effect would simply be a shift in the masses.

Hence, the total self-energy for the  $B_\mu - \phi$  system is more suitably set in a matrix form:

$$i\Pi^{(T)} = \begin{pmatrix} i\frac{m\xi^2}{\pi}p^2\omega_{\mu\nu} & \frac{m\xi\alpha}{2\pi}p_\mu \\ -\frac{m\xi\alpha}{2\pi}p_\nu & -i\frac{m\alpha^2}{\pi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

Analogously, the inverse propagator for this system is set in a diagonal matrix form

$$\mathcal{D}_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (2\beta p^2\theta_{\mu\nu} + 2p^2\omega_{\mu\nu}) & 0 \\ 0 & (p^2 - \mu^2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

The inverse of the modified propagator is given by

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}_0^{-1} + \Pi^{(T)}. \quad (41)$$

Replacing (39) and (40) in (41),  $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$  reads as

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\beta p^2\theta_{\mu\nu} + 2\left(1 + \frac{m\xi^2}{2\pi}\right)p^2\omega_{\mu\nu} & -\frac{im\xi\alpha}{2\pi}p_\mu \\ \frac{im\xi\alpha}{2\pi}p_\nu & p^2 - \mu^2 - \frac{m\alpha^2}{\pi} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

The corrected propagators are finally obtained in momentum space by inverting this matrix. So, the complete propagator for the  $B_\mu$ -field reads as follows:

$$iG_{\mu\nu}(p) = i \left\{ \frac{1}{2\beta p^2}\theta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\left(p^2 - \mu^2 - \frac{m\alpha^2}{\pi}\right)\left(1 + \frac{m\xi^2}{2\pi}\right)^{-1}}{2p^2(p^2 - M^2)}\omega_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$M^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{m\alpha^2}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m\xi\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2\left(1 + \frac{m\xi^2}{2\pi}\right)^{-1}. \quad (44)$$

This shows that the minimal coupling of torsion to matter is fundamental to obtain a massive pole for the gauge field, since if  $\alpha = 0$  ( $M^2 = \mu^2$ ), only the massless pole survives, as it can be seen from (43). Moreover, for the imaginary part of the residue at the massive pole, we obtain a positive result, namely

$$Im\mathcal{R}es(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{|a|^2}{4} \frac{\left(\frac{m\xi\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^2}{\left(1 + \frac{m\xi^2}{2\pi}\right)^2} > 0, \quad (45)$$

which ensures propagation of a physical degree of freedom. Therefore, the indirect coupling between torsion and the K-R field in 3D, as mediated by fermionic matter, results in the appearance of a massive propagating pole at the physical longitudinal sector of  $B_\mu$ .

## 4 Concluding Remarks

The central idea of the present paper is to illustrate how torsion may play a role in dynamically generating mass for 3D theories coupled to gravity. Fermions minimally coupled to torsion pick out only the scalar component of the latter, and their interaction provides the fermions with a 1-loop non-vanishing mass correction that does not depend on the fermion tree-level mass, but only on the coupling constant. For massless fermions, our viewpoint is that no mass is dynamically generated for the fermions. On the other hand, torsion itself may acquire a non-vanishing mass by means of 1-loop radiative correction.

As long as a K-R field is coupled to 3D-gravity via fermions, the interesting result we get concerns the 1-loop generation of dynamics for the longitudinal component of such a field: a massive and physical pole

is induced as a by-product of the coupling between torsion and the fermionic matter that also couples to the 2-form gauge potential.

As a general conclusion from our analysis we state that *torsion sets the stage for dynamical mass generation for bosonic fields in 3D* provided non-minimal couplings are present.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply indebted to O.M. Del Cima for helpful discussions and critical comments on an earlier manuscript. R. Casana, L.M. de Moraes, and G.O. Pires are also acknowledged for friendly discussions and helpful suggestions. We thank CNPq for the invaluable financial support.

## References

- [1] J. Schwinger, Phys.Rev. **128** (1962) 2425; M. Porrati and E.T. Tomboulis, Nucl.Phys. **B315** (1989) 615; C.A.S. Almeida, M.A.C. Kneipp and M.W. de Oliveira, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A6** (1991) 1443; C.A.S. Almeida and M.W. de Oliveira, Class.Quantum Grav. **10** (1993) 1681; A. Diaz, J.A. Helayël-Neto and A. William Smith, Phys.Lett. **B200** (1988) 515;
- [2] B.M. Pimentel, A.T. Suzuki and J.L. Tomazelli, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A7** (1992) 5307 B.M. Pimentel and J.L. Tomazelli, Prog.Theor.Phys. **95** (1995) 1217;
- [3] R. Delbourgo and A.B. Waites, Phys.Lett. **B300** (1993) 241, Austral.J.Phys. **47** (1994) 465; Yu.N. Obukhov, S.N. Solodukhin and E.W. Mielke, Class. Quantum Grav. **11** (1994) 3069; E.W. Mielke, F. Gronwald, Yu.N. Obukhov, R. Tresguerres and F.W. Hehl, Phys.Rev. **D48** (1993) 3648;
- [4] W.A. Moura-Melo and J.A. Helayël-Neto, Phys.Rev. **D63** (2001) 065013;
- [5] J.L. Boldo, L.M. de Moraes and J.A. Helayël-Neto, Class. Quantum Grav. **17** (2000) 813;
- [6] T. Dereli and R.W. Tucker, Class. Quantum Grav. **5** (1988) 951; P. Baeckler, E.W. Mielke and F.W. Hehl, Nuovo Cim. **B107** (1992) 91;
- [7] P.S. Letelier, Phys.Lett. **A54** (1975) 351; T.M. Davis and J.R. Ray, J.Math.Phys. **16** (1975) 75;
- [8] V. De Sabbata and M. Gasperini, “Introduction to Gravitation”, World Scientific, Singapore (1985); F.W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G.D. Kerlick and J.M. Nester, Rev.Mod.Phys. **48** (1976) 3641; F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke and Y. Ne’eman, Phys.Rep. **258** (1995) 1;
- [9] D. Kreimer and E.W. Mielke, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D7** (1998) 535; J. Lemke and E.W. Mielke, Phys.Lett. **A175** (1993) 277; D. Kreimer and E.W. Mielke, Phys.Rev. **D63** 048501 (2001) 1;
- [10] E.W. Mielke and P. Baekler, Phys.Lett. **A156** (1991) 399;
- [11] S.M. Carroll and G.B. Field, Phys.Rev. **D50** (1994) 3867;
- [12] A.S. Belyaev, I.L. Shapiro, Phys.Lett. **B425** (1998) 246; G. de Berredo Peixoto, J.A. Helayël-Neto, I.L. Shapiro, JHEP **0002** (2000) 003; I.L. Shapiro, Phys.Rep. **357** (2001) 113;
- [13] O.M. Del Cima, D.H.T. Franco, O. Piguet and M. Schweda, “No Parity Anomaly in Massless QED<sub>3</sub>”, Preprint TUW-99-08;
- [14] J.L. Boldo, O.M. Del Cima, J.A. Helayël-Neto and N. Panza, work in preparation;
- [15] H.R. Christiansen, M.S. Cunha, J.A. Helayël-Neto, L.R.U. Manssur and A.L.M.A. Nogueira, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A14** (1999) 147, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A14** (1999) 1721;
- [16] C.N. Ferreira, J.A. Helayël-Neto and M.B.D.S.M. Porto, Nucl.Phys **B620** (2002) 181.